Consultation Summary - Appendix B to the JTB Report 15 February 2016 - Parking Strategy 2016-2026

No.	Consultation Question	Yes or agree	No or disagree	*Number of comments
1	Do you agree with the four objectives in the DPS?	215	41	50
2	The DPS identifies several major roads where parking has been identified as a contributory factor to poor traffic flow. Do you agree with the streets identified and do you consider other streets may also benefit from a review, explaining why?	216	40	106
3	The DPS refers to residents parking zones. If you live within one is there anything you would like to change?	381	n/a	489
4	Do you live in an area/street that you feel might benefit from a RPZ?	30	26	25
5	Do you feel there should be an increased level of on-street enforcement?	180	76	91
6	Do you believe that some areas or streets should have extra restrictions?	174	82	67
7	The PS is looking to reduce the level of footway parking by implementing restrictions. Do you agree with this?	162	94	133
8	Do you feel enforcement on bus lanes could be improved?	61	195	34
9	The PS is looking to improve car parks – how would you like to see them improved?	n/a	n/a	186
10	Do you agree with the Action plan?	188	68	40
11	Are there any parking related issues of concern to you that have not been included within the DPS?	83	37	48
12	Do you have any comments about any part of the DPS that have not been covered in this questionnaire?	49	n/a	42
total		1,739	658	1,205

Summary of comments made via the consultation portal, by question number.

*Many of the comments made covered a variety of subjects, some related to the question asked, others related to different questions, which have been adjusted within this matrix in order to consider them appropriately when preparing the final Parking Strategy. Also, some were made by way of petitions or as representatives of larger groups but have only been counted as one comment in this matrix.

Notes:

A number of other comments were received during the consultation period via other media that did not respond directly to the questions asked. Also, since the consultation closed, numerous other comments from members of the public have been received. All such comments, where they had relevance to parking, have been assessed and taken into account when preparing the final Parking Strategy.

Most of the comments in the above matrix had no contact information and therefore cannot be responded to on an individual basis. Those who provided an e-mail address will receive responses shortly, including the Tunbridge Wells Town Forum.

Respondents who made comment via the consultation portal who did not leave a contact will be made available on the website in March cross-referencing their response to the relevant sections in the final Parking Strategy.